

Luminescence Intensity of Tervalent Chromium Complexes

By J. L. LAVER and P. W. SMITH*

(Chemistry Department, University of Tasmania, G.P.O. Box 252C, Hobart, Australia 7001)

Summary The relative intensity of luminescence at room temperature for trivalent chromium complexes is shown to be largely dependent on the thermal relaxation process ${}^2E \rightsquigarrow {}^4T_2$.

THE importance of thermal repopulation ${}^2E \rightsquigarrow {}^4T_2$ as one of the relaxation processes of the 2E (luminescence emitting) state has been discussed for a limited number of trivalent chromium complexes.^{1,2} If the 2E state is depopulated *via* the 4T_2 state, and this is the major relaxation process, the variation of luminescence intensities with temperature should provide a measure of this thermally activated process, and this in turn should be related to the magnitude of the 4T_2 - 2E energy interval.

We report here the nature of the temperature-dependence of luminescence emission for a series of trivalent chromium complexes in the solid state (see Table).

temperature. In these cases ΔE is small and the thermal depopulation process will dominate.

On the other hand, complexes with nitrogen- or carbon-co-ordinated ligands generally exhibit limited temperature-dependence. Luminescence at room temperature could be observed from these complexes. Here, with increased values of ΔE , the ability of the thermal process to depopulate the 2E state is decreased.

Further, while the intensities vary very markedly at room temperature, at liquid-helium temperature we have observed that the emission intensities are generally comparable for the range of complexes studied.

Although thermal relaxation to the 4T_2 state is by no means the only process depopulating the 2E state, we suggest that this mechanism provides an explanation for the wide range of room-temperature emission intensities observed for trivalent chromium complexes.

We thank the Australian Research Grants Committee

TABLE

Complex	$\Delta E(\text{kK})^a$	Form of intensity/temperature curve	$\frac{I_{77}}{I_{350}}$
$\text{Cr}(\text{urea})_6(\text{ClO}_4)_3$	<i>ca.</i> 0	Strong temperature-dependence	∞
$\text{NaMgCr}(\text{ox})_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1—3	"	∞
$\text{Cr}(\text{acac})_3$		"	∞
$\text{K}_3\text{Cr}(\text{NCS})_6 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$		Moderate temperature-dependence	25
$\text{Cr}(\text{en})_3(\text{ClO}_4)_3$	4—5	Weak temperature-dependence	3
$\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6\text{Cl}_3$		"	<i>ca.</i> 2 ^b
$\text{K}_3\text{Cr}(\text{CN})_6$	>10	Approx. zero temperature-dependence	<i>ca.</i> 1

ox = oxalate, acac = acetylacetonate, en = ethylenediamine.

^a Estimates for ΔE , the difference in zero-point energies of 2E and 4T_2 were made from absorption spectra assuming a band-width for the ${}^4A_2 \rightarrow {}^4T_2$ band of *ca.* 4kK and are approximate only.

^b This value is approximate due to the very rapid photodecomposition of $\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_6\text{Cl}_3$.

For complexes with oxygen-co-ordinated ligands, the temperature-dependence of emission intensity is very marked. No luminescence could be observed at room

and King Island Scheelite Ltd., for equipment grants. One of us (J.L.L.) acknowledges receipt of a Commonwealth Post-Graduate Scholarship.

(Received, August 12th, 1970; Com. 1357.)

¹ H. L. Schläfer, H. Gausmann, and H. Witzke, *Z. phys. Chem. (Frankfurt)*, 1967, **56**, 55.

² F. D. Camassei and L. S. Forster, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1969, **50**, 2603.